



82

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. (S) No. 4692 of 2006

Petitioner	Basant Jaiswal, S/o Late Shri R. S. Jaiswal, aged about 34 years, Occupation - Service, presently posted as Sub Engineer, Nagar Palika Parishad, Manendragarh, Distt. Korea (Chhattisgarh)
Versus	
Respondents 1.	State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, urban Administration and Development Department, Mantralaya, D K S Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh).
2.	Nagar Palika Parishad, Manendragarh, Dist. Korea, (Chhattisgarh) through its Chief Municipal Officer.
3.	Shri Chandrashekhar Sahu, Sub Engineer, Nagar Panchayat, Jhagharkhand, District Korea (Chhattisgarh)

WRIT PETITIOIN UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri

Shri Sachin Tamrakar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the respondent No.1.


Shri Ghanshyam Patel, Advocate for the respondent No.2.

Shri Sanjay K. Agarwal, Advocate for the respondent No.3.

ORDER

(Passed on this 16th day of April, 2007)

1. The petitioner has filed this petition challenging the transfer order dated 21.8.2006. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as learned counsel appearing for the respondent No.3 submits that by virtue of the interim order dated 10.10.2006, passed by this Court, the petitioner as well as the respondent No.3, both are working at the same place i.e. Manendragarh.



2. Be that as it may, with the passage of time this petition has become infructuous as period of transfer is not applicable forever. At this point of time there is no question to examine the validity of the transfer order. However, the respondents are at liberty to pass appropriate orders keeping in view the public interest and administrative exigency, without insisting on compliance of the impugned transfer order.
3. In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned counsel for the respondent No.3 that both the persons are working at one place i.e. Manendragarh, the respondent/State is directed to pass appropriate order for placement of the petitioner and the respondent No.3.
4. In view of the above, this petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Thakur

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge